# Breaking Down Barriers and Implementing Effective Strategies for Peer Workforce Integration

Quinn, S., Barreira, V., Gilgoff, J. 1. University of Maryland, School of Social work 2. Innovations in Recovery Infrastructure Support

## BACKGROUND

With the rise of self-reported substance use disorder reaching 1 in 7 Americans and overdoses in 2021 surpassing 100,000 deaths, there is a pressing need for substance use care and action<sup>1</sup>. Maryland has the second highest opioid-death rate in the United States<sup>2</sup>, with black citizens and the city of Baltimore disparately impacted<sup>3,4</sup>.As a vital part of the recovery care system, peers are beneficial to improving the lives of substance use patients. Not only do they provide empathetic and non-judgmental support<sup>5</sup> peers link clients community resources and act as a bridge between clinicians and those in recovery<sup>6</sup>. As the Maryland peer support workforce has grown in the past twenty years, many barriers to peer workforce integration have arisen, impeding the success of peer support workers and their care to their patients. This position paper aims to examine the components to effective peer workforce integration (PWI), barriers that inhibit effective PWI, and current and prospective strategies for more effective PWI.

### METHODS

This study was conduct by both IRIS staff and IRIS Recovery Research Fellowship(RRF) Members over the span of 10-months. The IRIS RRF (n=17) consists of peers, clinicians, managers, trainers, advocates, and academics who engage with each other on the topics of opioid use and peer recovery systems. The content of this paper was drawn from academic and grey literature; interviews with key peer recovery stakeholders and input from the RRF participants. The stakeholders (n=9) and leaders interviewed held positions in hospitals, grassroots organizations, peer advocates, or ECOs.

Academic and Grey Literature	27 Citations     Utilized University of Maryland Health Sciences and Human Services Library     3 Toolkits
IRIS Recovery Research Fellowship	17 participants     50% peers; 50% clinicians, managers, trainers, advocates & academics     Monthly meetings; 10-month program     Discussed topics about the recovery support system and ways to better integrate peers into the workforce
$\setminus$	
	9 Stakeholders     20 (Luestions per interview     Stakeholders hold positions as CEOS, directors, or peer advocates in hospitals, grassroots organizations, peer advocacy initiatives, and within the criminal justice systems.
Stakeholder Interviews	
Т	able 1. Details of collected data.

Reinforcing the value and benefit of peers through open communication, improved financial compensation and the development of systematic and educational trainings are some of the most effective ways to implement peers into the workforce; continuous advocacy and educational awareness are imperative to further effectively incorporate peers into the workforce.

BARRIERS	STRATEGIES
Unrecognized benefits of peer services	Create mechanisms for interdisciplinary dialogue and collaboration
Lack of clarity on the peer role	Prepare both peers and organizations for PWI in newer work settings
	Enhance education and advocacy on peer role and approach
Stigma towards peers	Support for peers through peer- delivered supervision
Poor unsustainable funding	Spur greater financial security for peer positions
Lack of centralized coordination for the peer profession	Develop entities and initiative to provide unified support for PWI

#### Table 2. Barriers and strategies gathered from literature, stakeholder interviews, and RRF Fellows' input.

## RESULTS

Effective PWI may be defined as fostering a culture of mutual respect, creating clarity around peer roles, and providing wages and benefits aligned with peers' value. Identified barriers were: 1) under-recognized benefits of peer services, 2) lack of clarity of the peer role, 3) stigma towards peers, 4) poor and unsustainable peer financing and 5) lack of centralized entity for PWI coordination. Strategies for effective PWI were: 1) build on evidence base for peer services, 2) better prepare peers and organizations for PWI, 3) create spaces for interdisciplinary dialogue and collaboration, 4) provide greater financial security for peers, 5) expand peer-delivered peer supervision, and 6) develop centralized coordination for PWI. Multiple strategies can be applied to singular barriers (see Table 2).

# DISCUSSION

As defined in the results, a definition for effective PWI was pulled from collected data. By developing a definition for PWI this allows for operative direction when attempting to integrate peers. To help maintain clarity of the peer role between clinicians and other colleagues, consistent communication channels and collaborations where all contributions are valued is essential. As a large portion of peer funding originates from federally or state funded grants, establishing secure and sustainable funding is important in maintaining peer employment. Advocates and policymakers should continue to push an expansion of Medicaid reimbursement, making sure it truly benefits peers. To lessen confusion and stigma surrounding the peer role, organizations should provide training and ongoing support for peers and non-peer staff. While there is much evidence supporting the strategies to achieve effective PWI, further methodological research on peer-delivered services, can further validate the unique value peers bring to the recovery workforce.

#### **REFERENCES:**

 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2022, May 11). U.S. Overdose Deadle In 2021 Increased Half as Mach as in 2020 – But Are Still Up 15%. <u>https://www.ede.gov/inchispressroom/inclaspress\_releases/2022/202205.htm</u>
 J. Andrews, S. DeAngelis, C., Hooshmand, S., Martinez-Orengo, N., & Zajdel, M. (2021). Reducing Emergency Department Visits and Opioid-Related Deaths in Maryland. *Journal of Science Policy & Governance*, 18(4). <u>https://doi.org/10.81126/JSPC180403</u>
 Jones, A., & Brathwalte, N. (2021). Experimedr 253, [Left rom Aljay Jones and NoB Bathwalte regarding Reial Disparities in Overdose Deaths Task Force]. <u>https://maryland.optum.com/content/dam/ops-maryland/documents/provider/Alerts/october-2021/BHA\*202686:63/402014/054/2021. https://doi.org/10.1016/10.1</u>

contentingroadars states 3-# 2021 (1942) 2020-2011 Report Pittal page 1 Peer Support/Peer Provided Services Underlying Processes, Benefits, and Critical Ingredients. Psychiatric Quarterly, 93(2), 571–586. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11126-022-0971-w</u> 6. Gaiser, M. G., Buchs, J. L., Waymer, C. C., Schoele, U., Smith, J. E., Caparnan, S. A., & Beck, A. J. (2021). A Systematic Review of the Roles and Contributions of Peer Providers in the Behavioral Health Workforce. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 61(4), e203e210. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/americ.2021.03.025</u>

